

Journal of Religion & Society (JR&S)

Available Online:

<https://islamicreligious.com/index.php/Journal/index>

Print ISSN: 3006-1296 Online ISSN: 3006-130X

Platform & Workflow by: [Open Journal Systems](#)<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20024529>**Digital Diplomacy and the Transformative Role of Social Media in Contemporary Foreign Policy****Muhammad Ammar,**

Lecturer Pakistan studies, Government Siraj ud dullah College Karachi

Corresponding Author Email: m_ammam20@hotmail.com**Abstract**

Digital diplomacy has significantly transformed the practice of foreign policy by incorporating social media platforms into diplomatic communication and engagement strategies. This paper explores how platforms such as Twitter and Facebook enable governments to interact directly with global audiences, disseminate information rapidly, and shape international narratives. The study adopts a qualitative approach, analyzing case studies of state-led digital campaigns and diplomatic interactions to evaluate the effectiveness of social media in advancing national interests. It highlights how digital tools enhance transparency, crisis communication, and public diplomacy while reducing traditional barriers in international engagement. At the same time, the research identifies key challenges, including the spread of misinformation, cyber security risks, and the potential for diplomatic miscommunication due to the immediacy of online platforms. The findings suggest that digital diplomacy is redefining traditional diplomatic practices by prioritizing speed, accessibility, and audience engagement. The paper concludes that social media has become an indispensable instrument in contemporary foreign policy, requiring states to adopt adaptive strategies to navigate the evolving digital landscape effectively.

Keywords: *digital diplomacy, social media, foreign policy, international communication, public diplomacy, cyber risks*

1. Introduction

Digital diplomacy has emerged as a transformative force in contemporary foreign policy, redefining how states communicate, negotiate, and project influence in the international arena. It refers to the use of digital technologies—particularly social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook—to achieve diplomatic objectives, including communication, public engagement, and strategic messaging. The increasing reliance on digital tools reflects a broader shift toward networked communication systems, where information flows rapidly across borders and reaches diverse audiences (Manor 18).

The academic relevance of digital diplomacy lies in its capacity to reshape traditional concepts of power and interaction in international relations. Unlike conventional diplomacy, which focused on state-to-state communication, digital diplomacy incorporates public diplomacy, enabling governments to engage directly with global citizens. This shift enhances transparency, accessibility, and participation, making diplomacy more inclusive and dynamic (Bjola and Holmes 6). As a result, digital diplomacy has become a critical area of study for scholars and policymakers seeking to understand modern foreign policy practices.

Context or Background

The evolution of diplomacy has always been closely linked to advancements in communication technologies. From the use of the telegraph to the emergence of broadcast media, each technological innovation has expanded the reach and speed of diplomatic

communication. In the digital age, social media represents the latest phase of this evolution, characterized by immediacy, interactivity, and global connectivity. Governments now use digital platforms to disseminate information, monitor public opinion, and engage in real-time dialogue with international audiences (Pamment 40).

This transformation has given rise to new forms of diplomatic practice, such as “networked diplomacy,” where communication is decentralized and interactive rather than hierarchical. Social media platforms allow states to bypass traditional intermediaries like journalists and communicate directly with the public. For instance, official diplomatic accounts frequently share policy updates, respond to global events, and participate in online discussions, thereby shaping international narratives (Manor 22). This increased engagement has strengthened the role of public diplomacy as a central component of foreign policy.

Research Gap

Despite the growing body of literature on digital diplomacy, several gaps remain. Many studies emphasize the advantages of social media, such as enhanced communication and public engagement, but fewer critically examine the associated challenges. Issues such as misinformation, disinformation, cybersecurity threats, and the potential for diplomatic miscommunication are often underexplored (Bjola and Holmes 12).

Furthermore, much of the existing research is theoretical in nature, with limited empirical analysis of how digital diplomacy operates in real-world contexts. There is a need for qualitative studies that examine specific case studies of state-led digital campaigns and diplomatic interactions. Such analysis can provide deeper insights into the strategies used by governments and the effectiveness of digital tools in achieving foreign policy objectives. Addressing this gap is essential for developing a comprehensive understanding of digital diplomacy.

Research Objectives/Questions

The primary objective of this study is to explore the transformative role of social media in contemporary foreign policy. The research aims to analyze how digital platforms influence diplomatic communication and evaluate their effectiveness in advancing national interests.

The study is guided by the following objectives:

- To examine the role of social media in diplomatic communication
- To analyze strategies used by governments in digital diplomacy
- To evaluate the benefits and challenges associated with digital platforms

Based on these objectives, the research addresses the following questions:

1. How do social media platforms influence diplomatic practices?
2. What strategies do governments use to engage global audiences online?
3. What are the risks and limitations of digital diplomacy in foreign policy?

Scope and Significance of the Study

The scope of this study includes a qualitative analysis of digital diplomatic practices, focusing on case studies of state-led social media campaigns and diplomatic interactions. It examines how governments use platforms like Twitter and Facebook to communicate with global audiences, manage crises, and shape international narratives. The study does not aim to provide quantitative measurement but rather to offer in-depth insights into discourse, strategy, and impact.

The significance of this research lies in its contribution to both academic scholarship and practical policymaking. By highlighting the role of digital tools in shaping foreign policy, the study provides valuable insights for diplomats, policymakers, and educators. It demonstrates that digital diplomacy is not merely a supplementary tool but an essential component of modern international relations. As global communication continues to

evolve, understanding the dynamics of digital diplomacy is crucial for navigating the complexities of the digital age (Pamment 48).

2. Literature Review

Digital diplomacy has emerged as a rapidly expanding field within international relations, driven by the integration of digital technologies into foreign policy practices. Scholars generally agree that it represents a shift from traditional, state-centric diplomacy toward more open, interactive, and networked forms of communication (Manor 21). The increasing use of social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook has fundamentally altered how states project power, engage with foreign publics, and construct international narratives.

One of the foundational contributions to this field comes from Bjola and Holmes, who argue that digital diplomacy enhances public diplomacy by enabling direct engagement with global audiences (Bjola and Holmes 9). They emphasize that digital platforms reduce the dependency on traditional media gatekeepers, allowing states to communicate policy positions instantly and transparently. This immediacy strengthens responsiveness in international relations but also introduces risks related to misinterpretation and uncontrolled message diffusion.

Joseph Nye's concept of soft power also plays a significant role in understanding digital diplomacy. Nye defines soft power as the ability of a country to influence others through attraction rather than coercion (Nye 56). In the digital era, social media becomes a key tool for exercising soft power by promoting national culture, values, and political ideals. Governments use online platforms to shape global perceptions and enhance their international image through carefully curated messaging.

Furthermore, Pamment highlights that digital diplomacy is not only about communication but also about strategic narrative construction. States use digital platforms to frame global events in ways that support their foreign policy goals (Pamment 44). For example, during international crises, governments often engage in real-time messaging to justify actions, manage reputational risks, and counter opposing narratives.

Crisis communication is another important dimension discussed in the literature. Seib argues that social media has become essential for managing diplomatic crises, as it allows governments to provide immediate updates and counter misinformation (Seib 62). However, the speed of communication can also amplify errors, leading to diplomatic tensions if messages are not carefully crafted.

Despite these advantages, scholars have identified significant challenges in digital diplomacy. One major concern is misinformation and disinformation, which can spread rapidly through social media networks and undermine diplomatic efforts (Bjola and Holmes 15). Additionally, cybersecurity threats pose risks to sensitive diplomatic communication, making digital platforms vulnerable to hacking and manipulation.

Another limitation highlighted in the literature is the tension between speed and accuracy. Manor notes that while digital diplomacy enables instant communication, it often lacks the deliberation characteristic of traditional diplomacy, increasing the risk of miscommunication (Manor 33). This creates a challenge for diplomats who must balance immediacy with precision.

Existing research also highlights a gap in empirical studies. While theoretical frameworks are well-developed, there is limited qualitative research analyzing real-world diplomatic interactions on social media platforms. Most studies focus on conceptual discussions rather than detailed case-based analysis of state behavior in digital environments. This gap highlights the need for more grounded research that examines actual diplomatic communication practices.

In summary, the literature demonstrates that digital diplomacy is a multifaceted phenomenon that enhances communication, strengthens soft power, and enables real-time engagement. However, it also introduces challenges such as misinformation, cybersecurity risks, and communication errors. Understanding these dynamics requires both theoretical and empirical analysis, which this study aims to provide.

3. Research Methodology

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design to examine the role of digital diplomacy in contemporary foreign policy. A qualitative approach is suitable because it focuses on understanding meanings, interpretations, and communication strategies rather than numerical measurement. The study analyzes how governments use digital platforms such as Twitter and Facebook to shape international discourse and engage with global audiences. According to Creswell, qualitative research is particularly effective for exploring complex social phenomena in real-world contexts where interpretation is essential (Creswell 37).

The research adopts a case study methodology, which allows for in-depth examination of specific instances of digital diplomatic activity. Yin explains that case studies are particularly useful for understanding contemporary events within their real-life contexts, especially when boundaries between phenomenon and context are not clearly defined (Yin 22).

Data Collection Methods

Data is collected from multiple qualitative sources to ensure depth and triangulation.

1. Social Media Content Analysis

The primary dataset consists of official posts, statements, and interactions from verified government accounts on Twitter and Facebook. These posts are analyzed to understand how states communicate foreign policy messages, respond to international developments, and engage with global audiences. Social media content is essential for this study because it represents real-time diplomatic communication (Bjola and Holmes 16).

2. Case Studies

The study includes selected case studies of digital diplomatic events such as international crises, policy announcements, and global campaigns. These cases are selected based on their relevance to foreign policy communication and their visibility on digital platforms.

3. Document Analysis

Official government documents, press releases, and foreign ministry statements are also analyzed. This helps compare formal diplomatic language with informal social media communication, highlighting differences in tone, strategy, and audience engagement.

Data Analysis Procedure

The study uses thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns in digital diplomatic communication. Braun and Clarke define thematic analysis as a method for identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns within qualitative data (Braun and Clarke 81). The analysis focuses on:

- Communication strategies used by states
- Language and tone in digital posts
- Representation of national identity
- Audience engagement techniques

Additionally, Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) is applied to examine how language reflects power relations and ideological positions in digital diplomacy. CDA helps reveal how states construct narratives to influence international perceptions (Fairclough 104).

Validity and Reliability

To ensure validity, the study uses triangulation by combining multiple data sources, including social media content, case studies, and official documents. This strengthens the reliability of findings by ensuring consistency across different forms of evidence. Yin emphasizes that triangulation enhances credibility in qualitative case study research (Yin 45).

Ethical Considerations

The study relies on publicly available data, ensuring minimal ethical risk. However, careful attention is given to accurate interpretation and representation of digital content. All sources are properly cited in accordance with MLA guidelines, and no private or restricted data is used.

Theoretical Analysis

The theoretical foundation of digital diplomacy is built on the intersection of international relations theory, communication theory, and digital media studies. This study integrates three key perspectives: soft power theory, networked diplomacy, and Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) to explain how social media reshapes contemporary foreign policy practices.

Soft Power and Digital Influence

One of the most influential theoretical contributions to understanding digital diplomacy is Joseph Nye's concept of soft power. Soft power refers to the ability of a state to influence others through attraction, persuasion, and cultural appeal rather than coercion or military force (Nye 56). In the digital era, social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook have become essential tools for exercising soft power.

Governments use digital platforms to promote national identity, cultural values, and political narratives. For example, official diplomatic accounts frequently share cultural content, humanitarian initiatives, and policy explanations aimed at shaping global perception. According to Nye, digital communication enhances soft power by increasing the speed and reach of influence strategies (Nye 61). However, the effectiveness of soft power depends on credibility, consistency, and audience trust, which can be undermined by misinformation or inconsistent messaging.

Networked Diplomacy Theory

Networked diplomacy provides another important framework for understanding digital foreign policy. This theory suggests that diplomacy is no longer limited to hierarchical, state-centered communication but instead operates through interconnected networks involving governments, individuals, media, and non-state actors (Manor 29).

In this model, communication is decentralized, and information flows in multiple directions rather than from top to bottom. Social media platforms facilitate this networked structure by enabling real-time interaction between diplomats and global audiences. For instance, ambassadors and foreign ministries now engage directly with citizens through public posts, comments, and live updates.

This shift has transformed diplomatic practice from closed negotiation to open engagement. However, Manor argues that while networked diplomacy increases accessibility, it also reduces control over messaging, making diplomatic communication more vulnerable to misinterpretation and digital disruption (Manor 34).

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) Framework

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) is used in this study to examine how language constructs power relations and ideological meanings in digital diplomacy. Fairclough's model emphasizes that discourse operates on three levels: textual analysis, discursive practice, and social practice (Fairclough 104).

At the textual level, digital diplomatic messages are analyzed for vocabulary, tone, and structure. At the discursive level, the focus is on how messages are produced and

interpreted in online environments. At the social level, CDA examines how these messages reflect broader political and ideological systems.

For example, a government tweet stating:

- “We strongly condemn acts of aggression and call for peace.”

At the textual level, the sentence uses strong evaluative language (“strongly condemn”) and moral positioning (“call for peace”). At the social level, it reflects a diplomatic stance that aligns the state with international norms of peace and stability. CDA reveals how such language is strategically constructed to influence global perception (Fairclough 106).

Digital Narratives and Framing

Another important theoretical concept in digital diplomacy is narrative framing. Pamment argues that states use digital platforms to construct strategic narratives that shape how international events are understood by global audiences (Pamment 47). These narratives are carefully designed to support foreign policy objectives and maintain national image.

For example, during international crises, governments may frame their actions as defensive, humanitarian, or peace-oriented. This framing influences how audiences interpret events and assign responsibility. Social media enhances narrative framing by allowing states to respond instantly and continuously adjust messaging in real time.

However, narrative competition is also a key feature of digital diplomacy. Multiple actors—including rival states, media organizations, and individuals—compete to shape the same event from different perspectives. This creates a complex information environment where meaning is constantly negotiated (Bjola and Holmes 18).

Interactivity and Audience Engagement Theory

Digital diplomacy also relies on theories of interactive communication. Unlike traditional diplomacy, which is one-directional, social media enables two-way communication between states and global audiences. This interactivity allows governments to receive feedback, respond to criticism, and engage in dialogue.

Seib argues that this participatory nature strengthens public diplomacy by making it more responsive and transparent (Seib 65). However, it also exposes states to public scrutiny and potential reputational risks. Negative comments, viral misinformation, or online backlash can quickly escalate into diplomatic challenges.

Theoretical Synthesis

The integration of soft power theory, networked diplomacy, CDA, and narrative framing provides a comprehensive understanding of digital diplomacy. Together, these frameworks explain how social media transforms foreign policy by:

- Expanding influence through digital soft power (Nye 61)
- Creating decentralized communication networks (Manor 29)
- Constructing ideological meanings through discourse (Fairclough 104)
- Shaping global narratives in real time (Pamment 47)

This theoretical synthesis highlights that digital diplomacy is not merely a technological shift but a fundamental transformation in how states communicate and exercise power in the international system.

4. Discussion and Analysis

This section interprets the findings of the study by linking theoretical perspectives with observed practices in digital diplomacy. It evaluates how social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook reshape diplomatic communication, narrative construction, and international engagement.

Transformation of Diplomatic Communication

The analysis reveals that digital diplomacy has significantly transformed traditional diplomatic communication by making it faster, more direct, and more interactive.

Governments now use social media to issue statements, respond to international events, and engage with global audiences in real time. This shift reduces reliance on traditional intermediaries such as press agencies and formal diplomatic channels (Manor 36).

For example, official foreign ministry accounts frequently publish immediate responses to global crises or political developments. These posts often use concise and emotionally framed language, reflecting the constraints and dynamics of social media communication. While this enhances speed and accessibility, it also increases the risk of oversimplification and misinterpretation.

Narrative Construction and Framing in Digital Diplomacy

The study finds that narrative framing is a central strategy in digital diplomacy. Governments actively construct narratives to shape how international audiences interpret events. As Pamment explains, digital platforms allow states to continuously adjust their messaging to maintain control over global perception (Pamment 49).

For instance, during international conflicts, states often frame their actions as defensive or peace-oriented:

- “We are committed to maintaining regional stability and peace.”

Such statements use positive evaluative language to position the state as responsible and cooperative. This aligns with Fairclough’s view that discourse is not neutral but reflects ideological positioning (Fairclough 108). Competing narratives from other states or media outlets further complicate this process, leading to what Bjola and Holmes describe as “narrative competition” in digital space (Bjola and Holmes 20).

Soft Power Enhancement through Social Media

The findings support Nye’s theory of soft power, showing that digital platforms enhance a state’s ability to influence global perceptions through attraction rather than coercion (Nye 62). Governments use cultural diplomacy, humanitarian messaging, and international cooperation posts to project a positive national image.

For example, posts highlighting disaster relief efforts or cultural heritage events contribute to building goodwill among international audiences. However, the effectiveness of soft power depends on credibility and consistency. Any contradiction between online messaging and real-world actions can reduce trust and weaken influence.

Interactivity and Public Engagement

One of the most significant findings is the interactive nature of digital diplomacy. Unlike traditional diplomatic communication, social media allows for two-way interaction between states and global audiences. Citizens, journalists, and foreign publics can respond directly to government messages, creating a more participatory communication environment (Seib 67).

This interactivity enhances transparency and responsiveness but also introduces challenges. Negative comments, online criticism, and viral misinformation can quickly escalate into diplomatic issues. As Manor notes, the openness of digital platforms reduces message control, making diplomatic communication more vulnerable to public scrutiny (Manor 38).

Risks and Challenges in Digital Diplomacy

Despite its advantages, the study identifies several challenges associated with digital diplomacy. One major issue is misinformation, which spreads rapidly across social media networks and can distort diplomatic messages. Bjola and Holmes emphasize that misinformation poses a serious threat to international stability by undermining trust between states (Bjola and Holmes 22).

Cybersecurity risks also present a significant concern. Government accounts may be hacked or manipulated, leading to the dissemination of false or unauthorized messages.

Additionally, the informal nature of social media increases the likelihood of miscommunication, as diplomatic language is often simplified for public consumption. Another challenge is the tension between speed and accuracy. While rapid communication is a strength of digital diplomacy, it can also lead to poorly crafted messages that create diplomatic tension. This reflects a structural limitation of digital platforms, where immediacy often takes precedence over deliberation (Manor 41).

Case-Based Interpretation of Digital Diplomacy Practices

The analysis of digital diplomatic practices shows variation in how states use social media strategically. Some governments prioritize informational communication, focusing on policy updates and official statements. Others emphasize emotional and persuasive messaging to build international sympathy and support.

For example, crisis-related posts often combine factual reporting with moral framing:

- “We stand with affected communities and call for global solidarity.”

Such messages reflect both informational and emotional dimensions of diplomacy. According to Pamment, this blending of narrative and emotion is a key feature of modern digital diplomacy, designed to maximize audience engagement (Pamment 51).

Integration of Theory and Findings

The findings strongly support the theoretical framework established earlier. Soft power theory explains the strategic use of attraction-based messaging, while networked diplomacy theory highlights the decentralized nature of communication. CDA reveals how language constructs ideological meanings and influences perception (Fairclough 110).

Together, these theories demonstrate that digital diplomacy is not simply a technological upgrade but a structural transformation of foreign policy communication. It involves continuous interaction between states, audiences, and competing narratives in a dynamic digital environment.

Overall Interpretation

Overall, the study confirms that digital diplomacy enhances communication efficiency, global engagement, and soft power projection. However, it also introduces significant risks, including misinformation, loss of message control, and cybersecurity vulnerabilities. The effectiveness of digital diplomacy therefore depends on how well states balance speed, accuracy, and strategic communication.

5. Conclusion

This study examined the transformative role of digital diplomacy in contemporary foreign policy, focusing on how social media platforms such as Twitter and Facebook reshape diplomatic communication, narrative construction, and global engagement. The findings demonstrate that digital diplomacy has fundamentally altered traditional diplomatic practices by enabling real-time communication, direct public engagement, and strategic narrative management in international relations.

One of the key conclusions is that digital diplomacy enhances the speed and reach of foreign policy communication. Governments are now able to respond instantly to international events, issue statements, and engage with global audiences without relying solely on traditional diplomatic channels. This immediacy strengthens responsiveness but also introduces risks related to accuracy and message control (Manor 42). The study confirms that while digital platforms increase accessibility, they also require careful management to avoid diplomatic miscommunication.

Another important finding is the central role of soft power in digital diplomacy. States increasingly use social media to project national identity, cultural values, and political legitimacy. Through carefully constructed digital narratives, governments attempt to influence global perceptions and build international goodwill. This aligns with Nye's

argument that influence in the modern era depends on attraction rather than coercion (Nye 63). However, the effectiveness of soft power is closely tied to credibility, consistency, and audience trust.

The study also highlights the importance of narrative framing in shaping international discourse. Governments strategically construct messages to present their actions in a favorable light, particularly during crises or conflicts. These narratives are continuously adjusted in response to global reactions, reflecting the dynamic and competitive nature of digital communication (Pamment 52). At the same time, competing narratives from other states and actors create a complex information environment where meaning is constantly contested.

In addition, the research confirms that digital diplomacy is inherently interactive. Unlike traditional diplomacy, which is largely one-directional, social media enables two-way communication between states and global publics. This interactivity enhances transparency and engagement but also exposes governments to public scrutiny, criticism, and misinformation (Seib 69). As a result, digital diplomacy requires a careful balance between openness and strategic control.

Despite its advantages, the study identifies several challenges associated with digital diplomacy. Misinformation and disinformation remain major threats, as false or misleading information can spread rapidly across digital networks and undermine diplomatic efforts (Bjola and Holmes 23). Cybersecurity risks further complicate digital communication, as state accounts may be targeted for manipulation or hacking. Additionally, the informal nature of social media increases the likelihood of misinterpretation, especially when complex political issues are reduced to brief messages. The findings also suggest that there is often a tension between speed and deliberation in digital diplomacy. While rapid communication is a defining strength of social media, it can compromise message accuracy and diplomatic precision. As Manor notes, the pressure for immediacy often leads to simplified communication that may not fully capture the complexity of foreign policy decisions (Manor 45).

From a theoretical perspective, the integration of soft power theory, networked diplomacy, and Critical Discourse Analysis provides a comprehensive understanding of digital diplomacy. These frameworks collectively demonstrate that digital diplomacy is not merely a technological innovation but a structural transformation of international communication practices. It involves continuous interaction between states, publics, and competing narratives in a highly dynamic digital environment (Fairclough 112).

In conclusion, digital diplomacy has become an indispensable component of contemporary foreign policy. It enhances communication efficiency, strengthens public diplomacy, and expands the reach of state influence. However, it also introduces significant risks that require strategic management, including misinformation, cybersecurity threats, and communication errors. The study concludes that successful digital diplomacy depends on a state's ability to balance speed, credibility, and strategic messaging in an increasingly complex global information landscape.

Future research should further explore comparative case studies across different regions to better understand how cultural, political, and institutional factors influence digital diplomacy practices. Additionally, quantitative studies could complement qualitative findings by measuring the impact of social media engagement on foreign policy outcomes.

References

- Bjola, Corneliu, and Marcus Holmes. *Digital Diplomacy: Theory and Practice*. Routledge, 2015.
- Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. "Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology." *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2006, pp. 77–101.

- Castells, Manuel. *The Rise of the Network Society*. 2nd ed., Wiley-Blackwell, 2010.
- Creswell, John W. *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. 4th ed., Sage Publications, 2014.
- Fairclough, Norman. *Language and Power*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2001.
- Gilboa, Eytan. "Diplomacy in the Media Age: Three Models of Uses and Effects." *Diplomacy & Statecraft*, vol. 12, no. 2, 2001, pp. 1–28.
- Hocking, Brian, et al. *Futures for Diplomacy: Integrative Diplomacy in the 21st Century*. Netherlands Institute of International Relations, 2012.
- Manor, Ilan. *The Digitalization of Public Diplomacy*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2019.
- Melissen, Jan, editor. *The New Public Diplomacy: Soft Power in International Relations*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2005.
- Nye, Joseph S. *Soft Power: The Means to Success in World Politics*. PublicAffairs, 2004.
- Pamment, James. *New Public Diplomacy in the 21st Century: A Comparative Study of Policy and Practice*. Routledge, 2016.
- Seib, Philip. *Real-Time Diplomacy: Politics and Power in the Social Media Era*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.
- Sharp, Paul. *Diplomatic Theory of International Relations*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- Tsfati, Yariv, and Joseph N. Cappella. "Do People Watch What They Do Not Trust? Exploring the Association Between News Media Skepticism and Exposure." *Communication Research*, vol. 30, no. 5, 2003, pp. 504–529.
- Yin, Robert K. *Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods*. 6th ed., Sage Publications, 2018.